# School Improvement Plan 

## Illini West High School District \#307

Plan for 2019-20

An opportunity for Illini West high school to integrate planning and resources for continuous school improvement
2019-2020

## An Integrated School Improvement Plan for

## ILLINI WEST HIGH SCHOOL

Illini West School District \#307
July 1, 2019 - June 30, 2020

BE RESPONSIBLE, BE RESPECTFUL, BE POSITIVE


## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE(S)
I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND
1.1 School Community ..... 4-5
1.2 School Improvement Team ..... 5
1.3 Other Information ..... 5
II. DATA COLLECTION, ORGANIZATION AND TRENDS
2.1 Data Collection Methods ..... 5
2.2 ACT College and Career Readiness ..... 6
2.3 ACT Summary ..... 7
2.4 SAT Overall Performance ..... 8
2.5 SAT Levels of Performance ..... 9
2.6 PSAT/SAT Data Class of 2019 ..... 10
2.7 PSAT/SAT Data Class of 2020 ..... 11
2.8 PSAT/SAT Data Class of 2021 ..... 12
2.9 PSAT/SAT Data Class of 2022 ..... 13
2.10 PSAT/SAT Data Class of 2023 ..... 14
2.11 Student Growth Data ..... 15
2.12 Illinois Science Assessments ..... 16
2.13 Illinois Science Assessment Performance Summary ..... 17
2.14 General School Data ..... 18
2.15 Graduation Rates ..... 19
2.16 Fall Housing Report ..... 20
2.17 Special Education Enrollment Data ..... 21
2.18 Referral Data ..... 22
2.19 Attendance Data ..... 23
2.20 Unexcused Absences Data ..... 24
2.21 Full Time Educator Data ..... 25
2.22 Curriculum Implementation Data ..... 26
2.23 Patterns of Strengths and Challenges ..... 26-27
III. PROBLEM STATEMENT AND HYPOTHESES ..... 27
3.1 Problem Statement English/Reading ..... 27
3.2 Problem Statement Math ..... 28
3.3 Problem Statement Science ..... 29
3.4 Problem Statement Graduation ..... 29
IV. GOALS, STRATEGIES, AND INTEGRATED ACTION PLAN ..... 30
4.1 Improvement Goals (Math) ..... 30
4.2 Improvement Goals (English/Reading) ..... 31
4.3 Improvement Goals (Science) ..... 32
4.3 Improvement Goals (Graduation) ..... 33
4.4 Improvement Goats (Every Student Succeeds Act) ..... 34
V. REFLECTION, EVALUATION AND REFINEMENT ..... 34
a. School Improvement Team Meeting Schedule ..... 34
b. Monitoring ..... 34
c. Communication Plan ..... 34

## I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

### 1.1 School Community

Illini West School District \#307 is in Hancock County, Illinois, which is in the Western section of Illinois. The district's most distal points from north to south are approximately 30 miles and from east to west about 18 miles. The Illini West School District \#307 encompasses the Dallas City, LaHarpe, and Carthage elementary districts. Towns partially or fully served by Illini West include Lomax, Dallas City, LaHarpe, Carthage, Pontosuc, Ferris, and Bentley.

Illini West High School is located at 600 Miller Street, in Carthage, Illinois. The high school facility is connected to the Carthage elementary building. The high school, grades $9-12$, on-campus student enrollment is 326 on campus ( 5 off-campus) with 25 full-time certified teachers, 3 part time certified teachers, and 2 full-time certified para-professionals. There is also a District Superintendent, a High School Principal, a Dean of Students, Athletic Director, Counselor, Outreach Liaison and 2 full-time secretaries. The high school also shares with other school districts a school psychologist and social worker.

## School Strengths

- The staff is highly involved with extra-curricular activities for the students, with $96 \%$ of the faculty serving as a coach or sponsor. These include thirteen sports, Art Club, Scholastic Bowl Key Club, International Club, Math Team, FFA, FBLA, Student Council, National Honor Society, class officer leadership, Yearbook, Drama Club, SADD Club, Robotics Club, E-Sports, Chess club, FTA club, Jazz and Marching Band, and others.
- All faculty members are teaching in their field of certification.
- The teacher/pupil ratio in the high school is 13:1 compared to the state average of 17:1.
- The high school offers a combination of college preparatory and career-technical education courses. Illini West High School, in partnership with Carl Sandburg College, offers off-campus dual-credit classes.
- An Alternative Learning Center is in its third year of existence 2018-2019 school year, for students to be successful outside of the regular classroom. Students can be referred to the ALC for credit recovery and behavioral issues.
- Thirteen students graduated with assistance from the ALC, who would not have graduated in the traditional classroom.
- A communication system, School Messenger, is a phone, email and text messaging system that allows for instant communication with parents. An internet based software system called Power School allows for parents to access their child's homework and test scores. Power School also allows parents to monitor from home or work, their child's lunch or breakfast accounts. The District also provides general, regular updates through the District Web Page and electronic marquee in the front of the school. IWHS also has links to its own Facebook and Twitter pages on the district website.
- Students and teachers have various opportunities to utilize technology in their daily instructional periods. All teachers have computers in their classrooms. Most classrooms in the high school have interactive whiteboards. There are two student computer labs in the building as well as several mobile computer carts, and a mobile computer lab.
- The school has implemented interventions to meet individual student needs within the regular school day, i.e. Reading classes for $9^{\text {th }}, 10^{\text {th }}$, and $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students.
- The school has implemented a Group math course for Algebra I, and Geometry students who did not meet the benchmark on the PSAT8 test, or those in need of extra support and resources.
- PBIS (Positive - Behavior - Incentive - System) programs recognize positive student behavior, attendance, and academic excellence. These incentives include preferential parking, three reward trips per year, and other celebrations for student success.
- The high school provides a calm and safe environment that is conducive to learning.


## School Challenges

- There is a low level of parent involvement. Only $18 \%$ of parents responded to the 5 Essentials survey for 2018-2019 school year.
- Declining enrollment is a challenge as it impacts overall funding for education.
- $40 \%$ of our student population qualifies for the free or reduced lunch program.
- Due to the difficult economy and the poverty rate in Hancock and Henderson Counties, many students work outside of the school day to provide income for their families.
- Most high school students qualify for bussing.


### 1.2 School Improvement Team

| Team Member | Position | Starting Year of Service | Years on Team |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Ryan Bliss | Counselor | 2016 | 3 |
| M.J. Palmer | Science/Math Teacher | 2017 | 2 |
| Alex Rodeffer | Social Studies Teacher | 2017 | 2 |
| Scott Schneider | Principal | 2016 | 3 |
| Jim Short | Dean of Students | 2017 | 2 |
| Shari Shupe | English Teacher | 2017 | 2 |

The Illini West High School staff believes that School Improvement can only be achieved through the efforts of all stake holders. All Illini West High School staff will continue each year to be a part of the SIP Team. The length of service will remain open as staff members rotate into the Core School Improvement Team.

### 1.3 OTHER INFORMATION

- $18 \%$ of the high school population has an IEP.
- Students attending ED/BD classes are transported to Macomb, 30 miles away.


## II. DATA COLLECTION, ORGANIZATION AND TRENDS

## 2.1 - Data Collection Methods

The school improvement team analyzed the most recent Illinois school report card and data from ISBE relating to standardized testing. The fall housing reports were used to obtain data that had been reported to the state. Student data charts were used to obtain student results on the ACT, and PARCC tests as well. Reports from the Power School management system were used to gather discipline and attendance data.

### 2.2 ACT College and Career Readiness

| ACT College <br> Readiness (\%) | Illini West <br> Class of 2014 | Illini West <br> Class of 2015 | Illini West <br> Class of 2016 | Illini West <br> Class of 2017 | Illini West <br> Class of 2018 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | 57 | 63 | 54 | 83 | 90 |
| Mathematics | 43 | 35 | 17 | 26 | 50 |
| Reading | 37 | 37 | 26 | 52 | 80 |
| Science | 26 | 31 | 22 | 33 | 50 |
| Meeting all | 14 | 18 | 9 | 14 | 40 |



### 2.2 Observations

ACT College and Career Readiness

## 2016

- Percentage of students who are career ready dropped in all areas
- From $63 \%$ to $54 \%$ in English
- From $35 \%$ to $17 \%$ in Math
- From $37 \%$ to $26 \%$ in Reading
- From $31 \%$ to $22 \%$ in Science
- Meeting in all areas fell from a high of $21 \%$ in 2013 to $9 \%$ in 2016


## 2017

- Percentage of students who are career ready increased in all areas
- From $54 \%$ to $83 \%$ in English ( $54 \%$ increase)
- From $17 \%$ to $26 \%$ in Math ( $53 \%$ increase)
- From $26 \%$ to $52 \%$ in Reading ( $100 \%$ increase)
- From $22 \%$ to $33 \%$ in Science ( $56 \%$ increase)
- Meeting in all areas rose from $9 \%$ in 2016 to $14 \%$ in 2017


## 2018

- Percentage of students who are career ready increased in all areas
- From $26 \%$ to $50 \%$ in Math ( $92 \%$ increase)
- From $52 \%$ to $80 \%$ in Reading ( $54 \%$ increase)
- From $33 \%$ to $50 \%$ in Science ( $52 \%$ increase)
- From $83 \%$ to $90 \%$ in English ( $7 \%$ increase)
- From $14 \%$ to $40 \%$ meeting in all areas (composite score $26 \%$ increase)
2.3 ACT Summary

| ACT <br> Summary | Illini West Class <br> of 2014 | Illini West <br> Class of 2015 | Illini West <br> Class of 2016 | Illini West <br> Class of 2017 | Illini West <br> Class of 2018 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Composite | 19.3 | 20.0 | 18.5 | 21 | 22.7 |
| English | 18.5 | 19.8 | 18.5 | 20.4 | 22 |
| Math | 19.2 | 19.5 | 17.6 | 19.9 | 21.8 |
| Reading | 19.7 | 20.3 | 19.1 | 22.2 | 23.8 |
| Science | 19.0 | 19.6 | 18.2 | 20.8 | 22.6 |
| \% Tested | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 12.3 |
| \# Tested | 93 | 84 | 96 | 90 | 10 |

- ACT testing is no longer mandatory in Illinois (2016)



### 2.3 Observations

ACT Summary
2016

- Composite ACT score dropped from a previous high of 20.0 in 2015 to 18.5 in 2016
- ACT scores in all subcategories dropped in all areas
- English 19.8 to 18.5
- Math 19.5 to 17.6
- Reading 20.3 to 19.1
- Science 19.7 to 18.2


## 2017

- Composite ACT score rose from a previous high of 20.00 in 2015 to 21 in 2017
- Act scores in all subcategories increased in all areas
- Composite 18.5 in 2016 to 21
- English 18.5 to 20.4
- Math 17.6 to 19.9
- Reading 19.9 to 22.2
- Science 18.2 to 20.8


## 2018

- All scores increased (10 students)
- Average increase of 1.7 pts.


### 2.4 SAT Overall Performance Data

Percentage of students who met or exceeded standards for Grade 11.

| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ | IWHS | STATE AVG. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ELA | 33.7 | 39.7 |
| MATH | 24.1 | 36.3 |
|  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ | IWHS | STATE AVG. |
| ELA | 47 | 58 |
| MATH | 24 | 40 |



### 2.4 Observations

SAT Overall Performance
2016-2017

- Fell below the state average in both categories


## 2017-2018

- ELA increased 13.3 \%
- Fell below the state average in both categories
- ELA on an upward trend


### 2.5 SAT Levels of Performance

|  | ELA |  |  | Math |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-17 | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| IWHS | 27.7 | 38.6 | 33.7 | 41 | 34.9 | 24.1 |
| State Avg. | 22.9 | 37.4 | 39.7 | 31.1 | 32.6 | 36.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| IWHS | 44 | 9 | 47 | 68 | 8 | 24 |
| State Avg. | 37 | 7 | 58 | 52 | 8 | 40 |

Level 1 - Partially Meets Standards, Level 2 - Approaching Standards, Level 3 - Meet \& Exceeds Standards


### 2.5 Observations

SAT Levels of Performance
2016-2017

- Most students fell into the partially met and approached categories in both ELA and Math.
- No student exceeded in Math
- More students met ( $28.9 \%$ ) in ELA than the state average (26.7\%)


## 2017-2018

- ELA increased $13.3 \%$ (as previously mentioned)
- Level 3 is below the state average in both ELA and Math


### 2.6 PSAT/SAT DATA CLASS OF 2019

| Fall 2017 PSAT 11 | \# of Test Takers | \% Met Both | \% Met ERW | \% Met Math |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class of 2019 | 83 | 20 | 48 | 22 |
| State | 2,600 | 40 | 62 | 42 |
| Spring 2018 SAT 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2019 | 87 | 23 | 47 | 24 |
| State | 137,863 | 37 | 58 | 40 |



### 2.6 Observations

## Class of 2019

Fall of 2017

- Did not meet state averages in any categories
- Far below state averages in both categories
- First year all juniors were required to take the assessments
- Was not used for college placement


## Spring 2018

- Percentage of students meeting both increased $3 \%$
- Percentage of students meeting in math increased $2 \%$ while state decreased $2 \%$
- Percentage of students meeting in English decreased by $1 \%$ while the state decreased by $4 \%$


### 2.7 PSAT/SAT DATA CLASS OF 2020

| Spring 2018 PSAT 10 | \# Test Takers | \% Met Both | \% Met ERW | \% Met Math |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class of 2020 | 70 | 27 | 54 | 29 |
| State | 93,358 | 40 | 63 | 42 |
| Fall 2018 PSAT 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2020 | 65 | 31 | 51 | 31 |
| State | 84,157 | 41 | 65 | 42 |

### 2.7 Observations

Class of 2020

- Fall of 2017
- ERW was closer to state average than math or both
- Fall of 2018
- Increased in math $2 \%$ and state average stayed the same
- Increased in both $4 \%$
- ERW decreased 3\%
- Below the state average in both math and ERW


### 2.8 PSAT/SAT DATA CLASS OF 2021

| FALL 2016 PSAT 8/9 | \# of Test Takers | \% Met Both | \% Met ERW | \% Met Math |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class of 2021 | 64 | 45 | 75 | 48 |
| State | 2,600 | 47 | 71 | 52 |
| SPRING 2018 PSAT 8/9 |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2021 | 71 | 35 | 63 | 39 |
| State | 87,106 | 42 | 60 | 46 |

### 2.8 Observations

Class of 2021
Fall 2016 ( $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade)

- Above the state average in ERW (75\% to 71\%)
- Math was close to the state average ( $48 \%$ to $52 \%$ )

Spring 2018 (9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade)

- Dropped in all categories
- State averages also dropped in all three areas
- Above state average (60) in ERW (63)


### 2.9 PSAT/SAT DATA CLASS OF 2022

| Fall 2017 PSAT 8/9 | \# Test Takers | \% Met Both | \% Met ERW | \% Met Math |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Class of 2022 | 78 | 35 | 62 | 38 |
| State | 46,632 | 39 | 63 | 43 |

### 2.12 Observations

Fall 2017 ( $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade)

- Percentage of students meeting in ERW and MATH are within 5\% of state average


### 2.10 PSAT/SAT DATA CLASS OF 2023

| Fall 2018 PSAT 8/9 | \# Test Takers | \% Met Both | \% Met ERW | \% Met Math |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Class of 2023 | 71 | 37 | 58 | 37 |
| State Avg. | 50,438 | 41 | 63 | 44 |

### 2.10 Observations

Fall 2018 ( $8^{\text {th }}$ grade)

- Percentage of students meeting in ERW is within 5\% of state avg.
- Percentage of students meeting in Math is within $7 \%$ of state avg.
- Percentage of students meeting both is within $4 \%$ of state avg.


### 2.11 Student Growth Data

The scores above are based on Pre-post test data of students who were tested. These measures are based on individual expected growth and not a final test score. Excellent growth represents the percentage of students who exceeded expected growth,
Needs improvement represents the percentage of students that did not meet expected growth.
*After 2016-17 tenured teachers are only evaluated every other year.

|  | 2016-2017 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 / 1 8 , 1 8 - 1 9}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department | EX | NI | EX | NI |
| Career and Technical | $100 \%$ | --- | $97 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| English | $90 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Fine Arts | $96 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Math | $92 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| P.E./Health/D.E. | $79 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Science | $91 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Social Studies | $98 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Special Education | $95 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Average | $92.6 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $92.6 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |

Observations 2.11
Student Growth
2016-2017

- Overall, an average of $93 \%$ of students showed excellent or proficient growth across all departments
- Only $7 \%$ of student did not achieve adequate growth
- Seven of the eight departments showed excellent growth of at least $87 \%$


## 2017-2018, 18-19

- Average number of students succeeding has increased $2 \%$
- Average number of students who need improvement has decreased $2 \%$
- Five out of the eight departments showed improvement from 16-17 to 17-18/18-19
- All departments exceeded


### 2.12 Illinois Science Assessment Data

|  | 2016 |  |  | 2017 |  |  | 2018 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average <br> Scale <br> Score | Level <br> $\mathbf{1}$ <br> NP | Level <br> $\mathbf{2}$ <br> PRO | Average <br> Scale <br> Score | Level 1 <br> NP | Level 2 <br> PRO | Average <br> Scale <br> Score | Level 2 <br> NP | Level 1 <br> PRO |
| State | 300 | 59 | 41 | 300 | 59.8 | 40.2 | 300 | 59.4 | 40.6 |
| IWHS | 294 | 71 | 29 | 300 | 62.7 | 37.3 | 290 | 77.3 | 22.7 |
| Female | 291 | 75 | 25 | 298 | 70.5 | 29.5 | 289 | 76.1 | 23.9 |
| Male | 298 | 67 | 33 | 305 | 47.8 | 52.2 | 293 | 80.0 | 20.0 |
| IEP | 293 | 100 | 0 | 288 | 100 | 0 | 270 | 90 | 10 |
| Low Income | 293 | 64 | 36 | 282 | 100 | 0 | 285 | 77.8 | 22.2 |
| Homeless | 299 | 50 | 50 | 284 | 100 | 0 | 289 | 66.7 | 33.3 |

NP= Not Proficient Pro= Proficient


### 2.12 Observations

## ISBE Science Assessments

2016

- Below state average for Average Scale Score
- First year testing data
- No item-analysis given
- Scale for proficient and non-proficient not given
- Feedback came two years later than test taken


## 2017

- Overall average increased 6 points
- Above state average in 2017
- Level 2 Proficient increased

2018

- Average scale score declined in two years
- IEP increased from $0 \%$ to $12.5 \%$ proficient
- Low income increased from $0 \%$ to $20 \%$ proficient


### 2.13 Illinois Science Assessment Performance Summary

| Test Year |  | All | $\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}$ Only | $\mathbf{1 0}^{\text {th }}$ Only | $\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}$ Only | $\mathbf{1 2}^{\text {th }}$ <br> Only | State \% |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 2 0 1 6}$ | Proficient | 24 | 21 | 3 | 0 |  |  |
|  | Total | 83 | 52 | 37 | 3 |  |  |
|  | \% Pro | 28.9 | 40.4 | 8.1 | 0.0 |  | 40.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ | Proficient | 25 | 24 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
|  | Total | 67 | 44 | 23 | 0 |  |  |
|  | \% Pro | 37.3 | 54.5 | 4.3 | NA |  | 40.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Proficient | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | Total | 66 | 36 | 24 | 4 | 2 |  |
|  | \% Pro | 22.7 | 27.8 | 20.8 | 0 | 0 |  |

Only students who are enrolled in Biology I or are a senior who has not taken the assessment, (whether they have taken Biology I or not), are tested.

### 2.13 Observations <br> 2015-2016

- $\quad 9^{\text {th }}$ only average was equivalent to the state average


## 2016-2017

- $\quad 9^{\text {th }}$ only was $14.5 \%$ higher than the state average


## 2017-2018

- $10^{\text {th }}$ only increased significantly from 2016-2018
- The further removed students are from their freshmen year the lower the score


## Demographic Data

Table 2.14 - General School Data

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 2 0 1 9}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#$ | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\#$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| Gender - Male | 164 | 48 | 154 | 46 | 150 | 46 |
| Gender - Female | 176 | 52 | 183 | 54 | 176 | 54 |
| Total Population | 340 | 100 | 337 | 100 | 326 | 100 |
| LEP | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 |
| IEP | 44 | 13 | 43 | 12.7 | 57 | 17 |
| Low Income | 113 | 33 | 121 | 34.7 | 128 | 38.6 |
| Homeless | 35 | 10 | 35 | 10 | 23 | 6.9 |
| Migrant | 1 | .003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mobility | 44 | 13 | 22 | 6.3 | 27 | 8.1 |
| Chronic Truancy | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1.2 | 11 | 3 |



### 2.14 Observations

## General School Data

2016-2017

- $33 \%$ of students are Low Income
- $10 \%$ of students are Homeless


## 2017-2018

- $34.7 \%$ of students are Low Income
- $10 \%$ of students are Homeless
- Mobility rate dropped by half

2018-2019

- Total enrollment continues to decline
- Students with an IEP increased
- Chronic truancy has increased
- Low income has increased
- Mobility has increased almost $2 \%$
- The number of homeless students is declining

Table 2.15 - Graduation Rates

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 2 0 1 6}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#$ | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ | $\mathbf{\#}$ | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ |  |
|  | Overall Graduates | $93 / 103$ | 90.3 | $83 / 87$ | 95.4 | $75 / 94$ |
| Gender - Male | $42 / 45$ | 93.3 | $47 / 50$ | 94 | $34 / 43$ | 79.1 |
| Gender - Female | $51 / 58$ | 87.9 | $36 / 37$ | 97.3 | $41 / 51$ | 80.4 |
| IEP | $14 / 18$ | 77.8 | $13 / 15$ | 86.7 | $8 / 11$ | 72.7 |
| Low Income | $40 / 49$ | 81.6 | $33 / 37$ | 89.2 | $25 / 39$ | 64.1 |
| Drop Out Rate | 1 | $<1$ | 3 | 3 | 6 | 1.8 |
| $\mathbf{5}^{\text {th+ }}$ Year Grads/LC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 75 |

* This is a cohort graduation rate which may include students who have moved out of district.


### 2.15 Observations

Graduation Rate
2016-2017

- Graduation Rate increased from 2015-2016 (88\%) to 2016-2017(93.2\%)
- Created a $5^{\text {th }}+$ Year Graduates category


## 2017-2018

- Graduation dropped by $15 \%$
- Low income students' graduation rate declined by $25 \%$
- IEP students' graduation rate dropped by $14 \%$


### 2.16 Fall Housing Report

|  | 2014-2015 |  | 2015-2016 |  | 2016-2017 |  | 2017-2018 |  | 2018-2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Population | 378 |  | 380 |  | 347 |  | 340 |  | 322 |  |
| Grade 9 | 95 | 26 | 99 | 26 | 76 | 22 | 80 | 24 | 86 | 27 |
| Grade 10 | 90 | 23 | 92 | 24 | 92 | 27 | 75 | 22 | 77 | 24 |
| Grade 11 | 100 | 26 | 90 | 24 | 86 | 25 | 93 | 27 | 71 | 22 |
| Grade 12 | 93 | 25 | 99 | 26 | 93 | 27 | 92 | 27 | 88 | 27 |

### 2.16 Observations

Fall Housing Report
2016-2017

- Enrollment declined by 33 students or 9\% from 2015-2016 to 2016-2017
- $\quad 9^{\text {th }}$ grade enrollment (76) lowest in 5 years


## 2017-2018

- Enrollment continues to decline


## 2018-2019

- Enrollment continues to decline, down 18 students
- $9^{\text {th }}$ grade enrollment has increased
2.17 Special Education Enrollment Data

|  | IWHS <br> 2016-2017 |  | IWHS <br> 2017-2018 |  | IWHS <br> 2018-2019 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#$ | \% | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| Intellectual Disability | 6 | 14 | 9 | 16 | 8 | 14 |
| Speech or Language Impairment | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| Visual Impairment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Emotional Disability | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 12 |
| Orthopedic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Health Impairment | 14 | 21 | 20 | 27 | 16 | 28 |
| Specific Learning Disability | 28 | 60 | 28 | 46 | 32 | 56 |
| Multiple Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Autism | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Traumatic Brain Injury | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Totals * | $42 / 347$ | 12 | $48 / 340$ | 14 | $57 / 322$ | 18 |

### 2.17 Observations

## Special Education Enrollment Data

2016-2017

- $60 \%$ of Special Education students are classified with a Specific Learning Disability
- Nine students have a primary and a secondary disability


## 2017-2018

- Number of special education students increase from 42 to 48
- Other Health Impairment increased 9 to 12
- The percentage of students with an IEP went up $2 \%$ from 16-17

2018-2019

- The number of students with an IEP has increased by 15
- The number of students with an emotional disability has increased
- The percentage of students with an IEP went up $4 \%$ from 17-18


## Student Behavior

### 2.18 Referral Data

|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{1}^{\text {st }} \text { Quar. } \\ \mathbf{1 6 - 1 7} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{1 s t}^{\text {st }} \text { Quar. } \\ 17-18 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1^{\text {st }} \text { Quar. } \\ 18-19 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 t}^{\text {st }} \text { Sem. } \\ \mathbf{1 6 - 1 7} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 t}^{\text {st }} \text { Sem. } \\ \mathbf{1 7 - 1 8} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{1 t}^{\text {st }} \text { Sem. } \\ \mathbf{1 8 - 1 9} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Enrollment | 347 | 345 | 326 | 347 | 345 | 322 |
| 1. \# of Referrals | 22 | 34 | 24 | 52 | 45 | 29 |
| 2. \# of Students Referred | 17 | 14 | 16 | 30 | 21 | 25 |
| 3. \% of Males Referred | 88 | 100 | 88 | 83 | 81 | 76 |
| 4. \% of Females Referred | 12 | 0 | 12 | 17 | 19 | 24 |
| 5. \% of 9th Graders Referred | 41 | 29 | 6 | 33 | 24 | 12 |
| 6. \% of 10th Graders Referred | 29 | 21 | 31 | 27 | 29 | 36 |
| 7. \% of 11th Graders Referred | 24 | 21 | 31 | 17 | 29 | 16 |
| 8. \% of 12th Graders Referred | 6 | 29 | 31 | 23 | 19 | 36 |
| 9. \# With No discipline Referrals | 330 | 331 | 309 | 317 | 324 | 297 |
| 10. \% With No discipline Referrals | 95 | 96 | 95 | 91 | 94 | 92 |
| Offenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Tardies | 31 | 36 | 53 | 55 | 72 | 71 |
| 2. Cell Phone | 5 | 1 | 2 | 33 | 4 | 3 |
| Unexcused |  | 21 | 14 | 45 | 96 | 86 |
| 3. Misbehavior | 34 | 50 | 34 | 71 | 143 | 58 |
| 4. Missed Detention | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 35 | 4 |
| 5. Inappropriate Language | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 11 |
| Consequences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Expulsion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2. OSS (4-10) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| 3. OSS (1-3) | 2 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 15 | 9 |
| 4. ISS | 7 | 34 | 24 | 32 | 95 | 33 |
| 5. Detention | 63 | 92 | 88 | 206 | 237 | 212 |

### 2.18 Observations

## Discipline Data

## 2016-2017

- $91 \%$ of students are referral free
- Only 30 students have been referred for discipline issues
- There have been no expulsion or long term suspensions
- Zero students have missed a detention


## 2017-2018

- $96 \%$ of students are referral free
- Number of students serving ISS has increased from 7 days to 34 days
- Incidents of misbehavior increased from 71 to 143
- 35 students missed at least one détentions


## 2018-2019

- The percent of students without a referral remains in the 90th percentile


### 2.19 Attendance Data

| Attendance Data | $\begin{gathered} 16-17 \\ 1 Q \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17-18 \\ 1 Q \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18-19 \\ 1 Q \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16-17 \\ \mathbf{1}^{\text {st }} \text { Sem } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17-18 \\ \mathbf{1}^{\text {st }} \mathrm{Sem} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18-19 \\ \mathbf{1}^{\text {st }} \mathrm{Sem} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. \# of Tardies | 268 | 343 | 329 | 508 | 853 | 704 |
| 2. \% of Male Tardies | 52 | 44 | 48 | 51 | 45 | 60 |
| 3. \% of Female Tardies | 48 | 57 | 52 | 49 | 55 | 40 |
| 4. \% of $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade Tardies | 12 | 13 | 25 | 18 | 18 | 19 |
| 5. \% of $10^{\text {th }}$ Grade Tardies | 22 | 26 | 29 | 25 | 25 | 20 |
| 6. \% of $11^{\text {th }}$ Grade Tardies | 29 | 33 | 35 | 25 | 29 | 22 |
| 7. \% of 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade Tardies | 37 | 28 | 43 | 32 | 30 | 40 |
| 8. \% of Students With 3 or less Tardies | 40 | 74 | 72 | 61 | 62 | 61 |
| 9. \% of Males With 3 or less Tardies | 87 | 77 | 67 | 31 | 61 | 54 |
| 10. \% of Females With 3 or less Tardies | 82 | 72 | 76 | 34 | 61 | 66 |
| 11. \% of $9^{\text {th }}$ Graders With 3 or less Tardies | 90 | 88 | 86 | 77 | 81 | 70 |
| 12. \% of 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Graders With 3 or less Tardies | 85 | 77 | 74 | 49 | 65 | 55 |
| 13. \% of 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Graders With 3 or less Tardies | 83 | 75 | 77 | 54 | 57 | 67 |
| 14. \% of 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Graders With 3 or less Tardies | 77 | 65 | 59 | 65 | 53 | 53 |
| 15. Average Daily Attendance Rate | 95.7\% | 93.3\% | 93.3\% | 93.8\% | 91.7\% | 93.1\% |

### 2.19 Observations

Attendance Data
2016-2017

- 508 tardies
- $31 \%$ Males with less than 3 tardies
- $34 \%$ of Females with less than 3 tardies


## 2017-2018

- 853 tardies an increase of $68 \%$ from 2016-2017
- Attendance Rate dropped from $93.8 \%$ in 2016-2017 to $91.7 \%$ in 2017-2018
- $61 \%$ of both Males and Females have less than 3 tardies

2018-2019

- Average daily attendance has increased by $1.5 \%$
- Overall number of tardies went down by over 100


### 2.20 Unexcused Absences

| Unexcused <br> Absences | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ <br> $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }} \mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ <br> $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }} \mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ <br> $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }} \mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ <br> $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Sem | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ <br> $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Sem | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ <br> $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Sem |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \# UA | 71 | 340 | 180 | 207 | 843 | 444 |
| $\%$ Male | 41 | 43 | 51 | 41 | 42 | 42 |
| $\%$ Female | 59 | 57 | 49 | 59 | 58 | 58 |
| $\% 9^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 26 | 12 | 12 | 25 | 15 | 12 |
| $\% 10^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 12 | 25 | 17 | 16 | 26 | 24 |
| $\% 11^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 35 | 26 | 27 | 24 | 23 | 26 |
| $\% 12^{\text {th }}$ Grade | 26 | 37 | 44 | 35 | 36 | 38 |

- An unexcused absence is a minimum of one period.
- New data category as of 18-19


### 2.20 Observations

Unexcused Absence Data

## 2018-2019

- Unexcused absences have dropped by 399 from 17-18 to 18-19
- Upperclassmen have more unexcused absences than underclassmen


### 2.21 Full-Time Educator Data

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 2 0 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Full-Time Classroom Teachers | 24 | 22 | 25 |
| Average Years Teaching | 17 | 20.1 | 17.1 |
| \# Full-Time Teachers New to High School / District | 5 | 8 | 4 |
| \# First Year Teachers | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| $\%$ with B. A. Degree | 83 | 81 | 84 |
| $\%$ with M.A. \& Above | 17 | 18 | 16 |
| \# with Emergency or Provisional Certificates | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| \# Teachers Working Out of Field | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Caucasian Teachers | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| \% Male Teachers | $29 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| \% Female Teachers | $71 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| \# Total Paraprofessionals | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| \# Classroom Instructional Paraprofessionals | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \# Total Under-qualified paraprofessionals | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \# Total Counselors | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \# Total Librarians | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \# Total Social Workers/ Psychologists/Outreach | 2 (part-time) | $3(2$ part-time) | 3 (2 part-time) |
| \# Total Other Staff (learning center, study hall, tech coordinator) | 3 | 3 | 3 |

### 2.21 Observations

## Full-Time Educator Data

## 2016-2017

- $17 \%$ of teachers have earned a master's degree
- $21 \%$ of teachers are either first year teachers, or first year in the district


## 2017-2018

- $18 \%$ of teachers have earned a master's degree
- $9 \%$ of teachers are either first year teachers, or first year in the district
- One less special education teacher
- One teacher was employed with a provisional/emergency licensure

2018-2019

- Average number of years of teaching experience has decreased
- $\%$ of male teachers has increased
- Two teachers were employed with a provisional/emergency licensure


### 2.22 Curriculum Implementation Data <br> 2018-2019

- Hired an additional Science teacher
- Hired an additional Math teacher
- Small group math was added to the Curriculum as a yearlong class to assist student who did not meet the benchmark score in PSAT 8 and PSAT 9 (Algebra I, Geometry).
- Added video conferencing business math class
- Future teachers of America program created to improve recruitment of potential new teachers to Illini West


### 2.23 Patterns of Strengths and Challenges

| Patterns of Strengths | Supporting Data |
| :---: | :---: |
| Support for new teachers | Teacher Academy data and mentoring program |
| Progressive in teaching pedagogy | PD days, bi-weekly teacher's meetings, monthly department meetings, student growth discussion and implementation |
| Many vocational, business class opportunities | Student enrollment data, Curriculum Guide |
| The faculty has consistently increased using technology, differentiated instruction, HOTS, student engagement, etc. | weekly walkthrough data |
| Over half the students qualified for PBIS incentives. | Dean of Students, PBIS data |
| Dual credit and Work Co-op program are available for academic variety and college credit, CEO program. | Master Schedule |
| Inclusion of IEP students into the general education classes. | Master Schedule |
| PBIS achievement charts are used to promote positive behavior and rewards. | Power School Data |
| Majority of staff have been employed at IWHS for at least 5 years. | Master Schedule |
| 4th year of the Learning Center | 14 (cumulative to date) students have graduated from the LC |
| Community Outreach Liaison position that deals with truancy issues, first generation college students, homebound instruction, homeless students. | COL documentation |
| After school tutoring | Averaging 8-10 students per session |
| Parent/student outreach | LOOP, Open House, P/T Conferences, Freshmen Orientation, Handbook committee, Financial Aid Night, FAFSA Night, Community/Student input group, Junior Chamber of Commerce student representation. |
| Transportation network | Availability during after school hours and summer months. |
| Technology availability | Student access (labs, mobile carts) to over 370+ computers. Access to 11 Promethean Boards/Mobile Board Carts. 16 Interactive TVs Plus.... <br> -Robust Internet/Wireless <br> - Multiple Mobile Projectors <br> - Access to iPad's (10) <br> -3d Printer <br> -Drone (Tech Club) <br> -3 Raspberry Pi’s <br> - Digital Signage/Software <br> - Various Camera's/Video <br> - All Students Have School Email Addresses |


| Patterns of Challenges (Cont.) | Supporting Data |
| :--- | :--- |
| Attendance rate | Enrollment data |
| Number of students who repeat a class due to failure | Semester grades, Mid-Term grades, quarterly <br> reports |
| Graduation rate | School report card |
| Average Math, English, \& Science scores on the SAT/ISBE <br> test, have not met the standards | School report card |
| 44\% of our population are eligible for the free or reduced <br> lunch program. | General School data |
| Increase the number of students taking higher level math <br> and science courses | Student enrollment data, student schedules |

## III. PROBLEM STATEMENTS AND HYPOTHESES

## Problem Statements, Hypotheses, and Data Sources

3.1 Problem Statement (English/Reading): Our data for PSAT, and SAT shows not all students met the standards in English. The following student groups did not meet the standards in English and Reading:
Class of 2019; (Spring of $18-47 \%$ met state avg. $58 \%$ )
Class of 2020; (Fall of $18-54 \%$ met state avg. 63\%)
Class of 2022; (Fall of $17-62 \%$ met state avg. 63\%)
Class of 2023; (Fall of $18-58 \%$ met state avg. 63\%)

| Priority Hypotheses | Accept/Reject | Data Source 1 | Data Source 2 | Data Source 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Not all students have <br> the essential skills for <br> success in English. | Accept | SAT | PSAT 8 | PSAT 9,10 |
| Current classroom <br> assessments indicate <br> student skill deficits. | Accept | Quarterly and <br> Semester Grades | SAT | PSAT 8 |
| Transitional <br> difficulties exist <br> between $8^{\text {th }}$ to $9^{\text {th }}$ <br> grades. | Accept | Quarterly and <br> Semester Grades | PSAT 8 | PSAT 9 |


| 3.2 Problem Statement (Math): Our data for PSAT, and SAT shows not all students met the standards in Math. The following student groups did not meet the standards in Math: <br> Class of 2019; (Spring of $18-24 \%$ met state avg. $40 \%$ ) <br> Class of 2020; (Fall of $18-31 \%$ met state avg. 42\%) <br> Class of 2021; (Spring 18-39\% met state avg. 46\%) <br> Class of 2022; (Fall of $17-38 \%$ met state avg. 43\%) <br> Class of 2023; (Fall of $18-37 \%$ met state avg. 44\%) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Priority Hypotheses | Accept/Reject | Data Source 1 | Data Source 2 | Data Source 3 |
| Not all students have the essential skills for success in Math. | Accept | SAT | PSAT 8 | PSAT 9,10 |
| Current classroom assessments indicate student skill deficits. | Accept | Quarterly and Semester Grades | SAT | PSAT 8,9,10 |
| Transitional difficulties exist between $8^{\text {th }}$ to $9^{\text {th }}$ grades. | Accept | Quarterly and Semester Grades | PSAT 8 | PSAT 9 |

3.3 Problem Statement (Science): Our data from the State Science assessment indicates not all students met the standards in Science. The following data (28.9, 37.3, 22.7 proficient) from 2016-2019 shows the levels.

| Priority Hypotheses | Accept/Reject | Data Source 1 | Data Source 2 | Data Source 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Not all students have <br> been exposed to the <br> same curriculum prior <br> to entering high <br> school. | Accept | Pre-Test Data | Quarterly and <br> Semester Grades | State Science <br> Assessments |
| Current classroom <br> assessments indicate <br> student skill deficits. | Accept | Quarterly and <br> Semester Grades | State Science <br> Assessments | NA |
| Transitional <br> difficulties exist <br> between $8^{\text {th }}$ to $9^{\text {th }}$ <br> grades. | Accept | Quarterly and <br> Semester Grades | State Science <br> Assessments | NA |

3.4 Problem Statement (Graduation rate): The graduation rate at Illini West High School for 20172018 was $79.8 \%$, below the state average of $85 \%$, improvement is needed in this area.

| Priority Hypotheses | Accept/Reject | Data Source 1 | Data Source 2 | Data Source 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students with low credit counts at the beginning of grade 11 are less likely to graduate. | Accept | Power School data, Freshmen on Track report | Counselor credit checks, sessions with students | Dean of Students discipline data |
| Students with a high truancy rate are less likely to graduate. | Accept | Power School data | ROE truancy statistics | Dean of Students discipline data |
| "At risk" students are less likely to graduate. | Accept | Power School data | "At risk" statistics | Dean of Students discipline data, COL data |

## IV. GOALS, STRATEGIES AND INTEGRATED ACTION PLAN

| 4.1 Improvement Goals (Math) $75 \%$ of $8^{\text {th }} .9^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ grade stud |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Conditions and Data Sources <br> SAT and PSAT-8,9,10 show we are currently below standards |  |  |  |  |
| Specific Action <br> We will provide additional math supports to students struggling in math. |  |  |  |  |
| Specific Steps | Timeline | Person/Group Responsible | Cost | Evaluation |
| Test all incoming $9^{\text {th }}$ grade students with the PSAT 8 test, to determine placement. | November 2019 | Mr. Bliss, Mr. Schneider, Math Department | No Cost | Teacher's meetings, Department meetings, SIP team and meeting minutes |
| Create small group math courses to assist students in bettering their Math skills, in Algebra I, Geometry and possibly Algebra II | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2019 \text { May } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Mr. Bliss, Mr. Schneider, Math Department | Additional Math <br> Teacher(s)/ <br> Supplemental <br> Resources <br> (Cost TBD) | End of semester exit tests, Student growth tests, PSAT-8 test to determine placement |
| Continue to provide student incentives for growth on state assessments, attendance, and academic performance in classrooms at levels 9 12. | 2019-2020 <br> School <br> Year | Mr. Schneider, Mr. Short, Mr. Bliss | Varies with activities | Attendance, Discipline, Quarterly grade data |
| Replace Promethean boards with interactive TV's on a rotation basis to increase student engagement and differentiation. | 2019-2020 | Mr. Greenhalge, Mr. Schneider | Current market price (\$35004000 each) | Teacher walkthroughs, differentiated lessons, observations |
| Continue After School Tutoring Program four days per week, as another resource for students. | 2019-2020 | Mrs. Shupe, Teacher tutors | Per teacher contract | Math grades <br> (Quarterly, Semester <br> Math scores (PSAT, <br> SAT) |

### 4.2 Improvement Goals (English/Reading)

$75 \%$ of 8 th, $9^{\text {th }}, 10^{\text {th }}$ and 11 th grade students will show a minimum of $10 \%$ growth, or proficiency
Current Conditions and Data Sources
Proficiency on the SRI, SAT and PSAT-8, $9,10,11$ show we are currently below standards.
Specific Action
We will continue to provide additional Reading supports to students struggling in English.

| Specific Steps | Timeline | Person/Group <br> Responsible | Cost | Evaluation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| We will analyze 2018-19 SRI <br> data and PSAT data to <br> determine placement in <br> English and Reading classes. | Fall/Spring <br> $2019-2020$ | Mr. Bliss, Mrs. <br> Shupe | Included in <br> SRI licensing <br> agreement | Student Growth <br> data, SRI testing <br> following semester, <br> SAT and PSAT <br> testing |
| Continue to provide student <br> incentives for growth on state <br> assessments, attendance, and <br> academic performance in <br> classrooms at levels 9 - 12. | 2019-2020 <br> School Year | Mr. Schneider, <br> Mr. Short, Mr. <br> Bliss | Varies with <br> activities | Attendance, <br> Discipline, Quarterly <br> grade data |
| Departments will refine and <br> administer Pre-Posttest <br> assessments that measure <br> student growth. | $2019-2020$ <br> School Year | All <br> Departments | None | Student Growth Data |
| Replace Promethean boards <br> with interactive TV's on a <br> rotation basis to increase <br> student engagement and <br> differentiation. | $2019-2020$ | Mr. Greenhalge, <br> Mr. Schneider | Current <br> market price <br> (\$3500-4000 <br> each) | Teacher <br> walkthroughs, <br> differentiated <br> lessons, observations |
| Continue After School <br> Tutoring Program four days <br> per week, as another resource <br> for students. | $2019-2020$ | Mrs. Shupe, <br> Teacher tutors | Per teacher <br> contract, \$25 <br> per hour | English/Reading <br> grades (Quarterly, <br> Semester <br> English/Reading <br> scores (PSAT, SAT) |


| 4.3 Improvement Goals (Science) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Conditions and Data Sources <br> Science assessment scores show we are currently below standards |  |  |  |  |
| Specific Action <br> We will provide additional math supports to students struggling in Science. |  |  |  |  |
| Specific Steps | Timeline | Person/Group Responsible | Cost | Evaluation |
| We will analyze 2016-19 Science assessment data to determine areas of improvements with item analysis. |  | Mr. Bliss, Mrs. Shupe | Included in SRI licensing agreement | Student Growth data, SRI testing following semester, SAT and PSAT testing |
| Continue After School Tutoring Program four days per week, as another resource for students. | 2019-2020 | Mr. Schneider, Teacher tutors | Per teacher contract | Science grades (Quarterly, Semester Math scores (PSAT, SAT) |

### 4.4 Improvement Goal (Graduation Rate)

The graduation rate will increase to $95 \%$ in 2019-2020.
Current Conditions and Data Sources
2017-2018 graduation rate for Illini West High School was 79.8\%.
Specific Action
Programs and procedures will be enacted to increase the percentage of students who earn a diploma in the required four years of high school.

| Specific Steps | Timeline | Person/Group <br> Responsible | Cost and <br> funding source | Evaluation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Promote positive <br> attendance by continuing to <br> evaluate handbook policies <br> regarding student <br> attendance and create a <br> three-tiered attendance <br> intervention system | Fall 2019 | Mr. Short, Mr. <br> Schneider, Mr. <br> Bliss, Mr. <br> Surprenant | No cost | Attendance rate, <br> graduation rate |
| Maintain and evaluate <br> PBIS policies for <br> attendance and offer <br> student incentives. | August 2019- <br> May 2020 | Mr. Short, Mr. <br> Schneider, Mr. <br> Bliss | Varies with <br> activity | Attendance rate, <br> graduation rate |
| Continue to provide access <br> to Edgenuity (through the <br> Learning Center) for credit <br> recovery for students <br> behind in credits, students <br> with behavioral issues, and <br> $5^{\text {th/ } / 6^{\text {th }} \text { year students }}$ | August 2019- <br> May 2020 | Mr. Bliss, Mr. <br> Short, Mr. <br> Surprenant | \$125 per <br> student | Enrollment <br> numbers in ALC, <br> progress towards <br> graduation. |

### 4.5 Improvement Goal (ESSA)

To achieve exemplary status in the ESSA evaluation for 2019-2020
Current Conditions and Data Sources
First year of ESSA, SAT scores, Science assessment scores

## Specific Action

Track and input data into state sponsored system from ESSA categories (Core Academic and Student Success and school quality indicators)

| Specific Steps | Timeline | Person/Group Responsible | Cost and funding source | Evaluation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College and Career Readiness Indicator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall 2019-May } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Mr. Bliss, Mrs. Shupe | None | Data input from Counseling, ESSA Coordinator |
| Freshmen on Track indicator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall 2019-May } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Mr. Bliss, Mrs. Shupe | None | Data input from Counseling, ESSA Coordinator |
| Chronic <br> Absenteeism <br> Indicator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall 2019-May } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Mr. Short, Mr. Surprenant | None | Data input on chronic absenteeism |
| Climate Surveys indicator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall 2019-May } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | Mr. Schneider, Mr. Greenhalge | None | 5 Essentials survey results tracking |
| ELA Proficiency Indicator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall 2019-May } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | SIP Team | None | SAT results |
| Math Proficiency Indicator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall 2019-May } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | SIP Team | None | SAT results |
| Fine Arts Indicator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall 2019-May } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | SIP Team | None | Tracking of student enrollment |
| Science Proficiency Indicator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall 2019-May } \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ | SIP Team | None | Il. Science Testing results |

## V. REFLECTION, EVALUATION, REFINEMENT

A. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT TEAM MEETING SCHEDULE

- We will meet every other Tuesday during the school year from 330-530 pm.
- We will spend part of every meeting implementing the current plan, and the remainder of the meeting working on the new plan.


## B. MONITORING

- To monitor the progress on our goals throughout the year, we will utilize the previous year's SIP plan to gauge our progress by engaging SIP members in in-depth discussion during every SIP meeting to monitor our progress throughout the year.


## C. COMMUNICATION PLAN

- Have copies of School Improvement Plan available at registration.
- Post School Improvement Plan and progress report on the school website.
- Hold regular conferences (one each semester) with students, teachers, and adult family members organized around a review of student work and academic progress (Community Student input group).

